

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,236	11/12/2003	Shigeo Fujita	Q78418	3530
23373 7	590 11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			BEATTY, ROBERT B	
	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		2852	THE BRITONIDER

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ίΚ		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/705,236	FUJITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Beatty	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (6), cause the application to become ABANDO	ION.  e timely filed  from the mailing date of this communic  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-21 is/are withdraws</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-4,6 and 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate the second of the second	cation No eived in this National Stage	· •
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/705,236 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

1. Claims 8-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic

or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/26/2005.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is

clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

3. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claims 1 and 5, last line, the applicant claims the seam confronting the electrode is upstream or downstream of a first direction which is confusing since it must be upstream or downstream of a position not a general direction.

in claim 4, line 1 change "imag" to --image--.. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Yu et al. '136

Application/Control Number: 10/705,236

Art Unit: 2852

Yu et al. '136teach an image forming apparatus comprising a flexible belt 10 supported by a plurality of rollers (col.12, lines 34-36) and having a seam 30 which extends obliquely relative to the direction of rotation of the belt (see Fig.5). A cleaning device contacts the flexible belt (col.14, line 38). An electrode portion for grounding the belt is located on a marginal side region (col.8, lines 44-50).

Specifically, Yu et al. does not specify whether the seam confronting the electrode portion would be upstream or downstream (although it must be one or the other). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to angle the seam in either direction since this does not seem to be a critical aspect of the invention since applicant claims both and it would appear to equivalent structures for the purpose of forming an image.

- 5. Claims 2-4,6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schlueter, Jr. et al., Foltz et al., Yu et al. '290, Mishra et al, Tabuchi, Koizumi (JP) and Yamazaki (JP) all teach various belt with electrodes or seams.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,236

Art Unit: 2852

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Beatty

**Primary Examiner** 

Page 4

Art Unit 2852